Serum transforming growth factor-beta 1 levels in normoalbuminuric and normotensive patients with type 2 diabetes. Effect of metformin and rosiglitazone
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: a) To determine serum Transforming Growth Factor-beta 1 (TGF-β1) levels in patients with type 2 diabetes who do not have diabetes related complications and in healthy controls, b) to evaluate the effects of metformin and rosiglitazone on TGF-β1 levels. DESIGN: In the washout period, 61 patients with Fasting Plasma Glucose levels (FPG) higher than 140 mg/dl, Postprandial Glucose (PPG) levels higher than 180 mg/dl and A1c levels exceeding 6.5% were treated with glimperide. After 4 weeks, 39 of these patients were randomised to receive either metformin or rosiglitazone for 12 weeks. Thirty healthy controls were also studied. RESULTS: There were no significant differences with regard to age, gender, body weight and BMI between patients and healthy controls. Type 2 diabetics had higher waist circumference, FPG, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and triglyceride levels. Baseline TGF-β1 levels in diabetics were higher than in controls (29.84±7.04 ng/ml vs 11.37±4.06 ng/ml, p<0.001). Metformin or rosiglitazone did not significantly modify the TGF-β1 levels. In a multiple regression analysis FPG was the only variable that was significantly associated with plasma TGF-β1 levels. CONCLUSION: The elevated levels of TGF-β1 in subjects with type 2 diabetes possibly indicate a tendency for renal and endothelial damage in such patients. The association of TGF-β1 with FPG possibly links poor diabetic control to vascular damage, leading to diabetic complications. Lack of changes in the levels of TGF-β1 after therapy may reflect inadequate therapy duration.
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INTRODUCTION

There is growing evidence that renal and endothelial involvement in type 2 diabetes can occur without any diabetes related clinical complications. Thus, it has been noticed that altered structural changes, such as increased glomerular basal membrane width
and mesangium fractional volume, can exist in normoalbuminuric type 2 diabetic patients.\(^1\) It has also been proven that endothelial dysfunction is present even in uncomplicated type 2 diabetes.\(^2\)

Transforming Growth Factor beta (TGF-β) is an important cytokine for the development of renal injury in type 2 diabetic patients. The TGF-β family includes multifunctional molecules that exert specific effects on cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, development, tissue remodelling and repair.\(^3\) TGF-β1, unlike TGF-β2 and TGF-β3, is known to be at increased concentrations in healthy blood vessel walls\(^4\) and is one of the three isoforms of TGF-β with the most prominent fibrotic properties.

Insulin resistance and consequent hyperinsulinemia were demonstrated as increasing TGF-β1 expression in cell culture as well as in humans.\(^5\) Anderson et al have shown that insulin significantly increases TGF-β1 expression from mesangial cells in vitro.\(^6\) Insulin was also found to stimulate TGF-β1 expression in epithelial cells of the proximal tubule which, in turn, led to an increase in type IV collagen gene expression and accumulation in the extracellular matrix.\(^7\) Furthermore, in vitro studies showed that in high glucose concentrations, TGF-β triggers hypertrophy and extracellular matrix deposition in renal proximal tubular cells, mesangial cells, epithelial cells, endothelial cells and fibroblasts.\(^8\) TGF-β signaling plays important roles in the development of atherosclerosis.\(^9\) TGF-β and receptors are widely expressed by smooth muscle cells, macrophages and T cells in human atherosclerotic lesions during development of fatty streaks and subsequent atheroma.\(^10\)

Metformin and rosiglitazone are widely used in type 2 diabetes management. In the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS), it was demonstrated that metformin was associated with a decrease in cardiovascular mortality and morbidity independent of glycemic control in overweight type 2 diabetic patients.\(^11\) This effect was attributed to the vasculo-protective effects of metformin. Other studies also demonstrated that metformin had beneficial effects on Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), von Willebrand Factor (vWF) and fibrinogen.\(^12\) Metformin was also shown to improve endothelial dysfunction.\(^13\) Rosiglitazone therapy was shown to reduce serum C reactive protein levels in type 2 diabetic patients.\(^1\) Furthermore, rosiglitazone therapy was shown to ameliorate endothelial dysfunction independent of glucose control.\(^1\) Rosiglitazone has additional renoprotective effects either through reduction of albumin excretion or by preventing the occurrence of microalbuminuria.\(^1\)

There are not enough data in the literature demonstrating TGF-β1 levels in type 2 diabetic patients without diabetes related complications. Likewise, effects of metformin or rosiglitazone on TGF-β1 have not as yet been evaluated. In this study, our aim was to evaluate TGF-β1 levels in normotensive, normoalbuminuric, poorly controlled type 2 diabetic patients without any complications. In addition, we also evaluated the effects of 12 weeks metformin and rosiglitazone on TGF-β1 levels.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Patients with type 2 diabetes (in accordance with the American Diabetes Association criteria defined in 2005), diagnosed at least 6 months earlier, aged 30–70 years, with Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) over 140 mg/dl, 2-hours Postprandial Glucose (PPG) over 180 mg/dl and A1c over 6.5% were included in the study for the washout period. Exclusion criteria were as follows: hypertension (2 consecutive measurements higher than 130/80 mm-Hg), diabetic retinopathy, diabetic polyneuropathy, history of a cardiovascular and/or cerebrovascular event, history of any chronic disease, pregnancy, childbearing potential, Body Mass Index (BMI) over 40 kg/m\(^2\), abnormal resting electrocardiography or positive treadmill exercise test, angina pectoris, intermittent claudication, microalbuminuria (≥30 mg/day), transaminase levels exceeding 2.5 times normal and serum creatinine levels over 1.4 mg/dl. Patients who were being treated with insulin (or with a history of insulin treatment), Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor antagonists, anticoagulant drugs or antiobesity drugs were also excluded. Sixty-one type 2 diabetic patients and 30 age, gender and BMI matched healthy controls without any diseases were included in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

The study was designed to be in two phases. The
first phase was the washout period and lasted 4 weeks. During the washout period, oral antidiabetics were switched to glimepride at the appropriate dose (minimum 1 mg and maximum 4 mg) adjusted according to the patient’s plasma glucose levels. After 4 weeks of washout period, 39 patients were included in the second phase of the study and received either metformin or rosiglitazone. Twenty-two patients were not randomised because of microalbuminuria, hypertension, withdrawal of informed consent or for the need of insulin therapy. The patients with FPG over 180 mg/dl continued glimepride besides metformin or rosiglitazone. Metformin was administered at the dose of 1700 mg/day in two divided doses (after breakfast and dinner) and the daily rosiglitazone dose was 4 mg and was given before breakfast. The patients with Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C) higher than 130 mg/dl were treated with simvastatin (10 mg/day or 20 mg/day). No other anti-lipidemic drugs were used during the study. The patients were followed for 12 weeks. During the follow-up period, drug doses were not changed and no other drugs were added to the therapeutic regimen.

At the beginning and at the end of the randomisation phase the patients were evaluated. Following 8-10 hours of overnight fasting a complete medical history was taken and detailed physical examination was performed. Height (m), weight (kg) and waist circumference (cm) were measured with the subjects in light clothing and without shoes. Two consecutive blood pressure measurements were recorded, using a sphygmomanometer, in the sitting position after 5 minutes resting. Blood samples for laboratory investigations were obtained from the antecubital vein with a vacutainer between 08:00 and 09:00 hr. A PPG sample was obtained 2 hours after breakfast.

Laboratory investigations were as follows: FPG, 2-hours PPG, A1c, total cholesterol, High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, calculated LDL-C and serum TGFB1. A twenty-four hour urine sample was collected for the detection of microalbuminuria. Serum samples for fasting and PPG and lipid parameters were obtained by centrifugation at 2000 bpm for 15 minutes at room temperature. A1c measurements were performed with fresh samples. Serum samples for TGFB1 were obtained by centrifugation at 2000 bpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. All samples were stored at -80°C.

TGFB1 levels were measured using available ELISA kits (Biosource, Nivelles, Belgium). FPG, triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C were measured by Roche/Hitachi D/P Modular System Autoanalyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS, version 11.0 for Windows. Comparisons between groups were determined with Independent Samples t-test or Mann Whitney U-test. Comparison of related samples was assessed with the Wilcoxon test. Correlations were assessed by Spearman correlation. Multiple regression analysis was used to check the effects of all independent factors that potentially affect TGFB1. P value <0.05 (2 tailed) was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Sixty-one patients were included in the washout phase. After a 4-week washout period, 22 patients were excluded and 39 patients (18 females, 21 males) were followed during the randomisation period. The anthropometric and laboratory parameters of type 2 diabetic subjects and healthy controls at the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type 2 diabetics (n=39)</th>
<th>Healthy controls (n=30)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>18/21</td>
<td>16/14</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53.1±7.7</td>
<td>49.5±9.4</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.9±11.3</td>
<td>72.0±10.9</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.3±2.8</td>
<td>26.6±3.1</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>90.7±8.5</td>
<td>85.2±11.5</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>177.0±52.4</td>
<td>91.3±5.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1c (%)</td>
<td>8.2±1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>200.3±43.7</td>
<td>173.2±23.4</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>117.5±34.9</td>
<td>101.7±19.3</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>50.9±13.1</td>
<td>49.8±12.7</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>161.7±93.0</td>
<td>113.9±51.6</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TGFB1 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>29.84±7.04</td>
<td>11.37±4.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
beginning of the randomisation period are shown in Table 1. Type 2 diabetic subjects were found to have higher waist circumference (p = 0.031) and higher levels of FPG (p<0.001), total cholesterol (p = 0.006), LDL-C (p = 0.043), triglyceride (p = 0.022) and TGF-β1 (p<0.001) compared to healthy controls.

A group of 16 patients (6 women, 10 men) was treated with metformin and the remaining 23 patients (12 women, 11 men) were given rosiglitazone. The mean ages of the metformin and rosiglitazone groups were 54.4±7.2 and 52.3±8.1, respectively. Age (p = 0.396), gender (p = 0.516), BMI (p = 0.641), waist circumference (p = 0.712), FPG (p = 0.294), PPG (p = 0.954), A1c (p = 0.448), total cholesterol (p = 0.682), triglyceride (p = 0.989), HDL-C (p = 0.275), LDL-C (p = 0.855) and TGF-β1 (p = 0.224) levels were comparable in the two groups at the beginning of the randomisation phase. The basal and the 12th week anthropometric and laboratory parameters of the patients are shown in Table 2.

After 12 weeks’ treatment with metformin, A1c levels were significantly lower (p = 0.027) compared with the basal values and the same trend was observed in weight and BMI (p value for body weight and BMI is 0.054). There was no significant change in waist circumference (p = 0.710), total cholesterol (p = 0.205), triglyceride (p = 0.215), HDL-C (p = 0.603), LDL-C (p = 0.326) and serum TGF-β1 (p = 0.301) levels with metformin therapy.

In the rosiglitazone group, FPG and A1c values decreased significantly after 12 weeks (p = 0.004 and p = 0.003, respectively) (Table 2). There was no significant change in BMI (p = 0.552), waist circumference (p = 0.117) and PPG (p = 0.313) when compared with baseline values. Total cholesterol (p = 0.080), LDL-C (p = 0.163) and HDL-C (p = 0.983) did not differ significantly after 12 weeks’ treatment, but triglyceride levels increased (p = 0.025) after 12 weeks’ rosiglitazone treatment. Serum TGF-β1 levels did not change significantly in the rosiglitazone group (p = 0.362).

The serum TGF-β1 levels were correlated with age (r = 0.243 p = 0.044), FPG (r = 0.523 p < 0.001), total cholesterol (r = 0.299 p = 0.016) and LDL-C (r = 0.261 p = 0.037) (Table 3). In a multiple regression analysis after adjustment for age, FPG, body weight, BMI, waist circumference, total cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL-C and LDL-C, FPG remained the only variable that was associated significantly and independently with plasma TGB-β1 levels (Table 4 and Figure 1). TGF-β1 levels did not differ between men and women (21.77 ± 12.01 vs 21.85 ± 9.90, respectively, p = 0.975).

In the type 2 diabetes group, basal TGF-β1 was

---

### Table 2. The baseline and the third month anthropometric and laboratory parameters of the patients. Data are presented as mean value ± SD. Data are in Conventional Units (CU). [Correction Factor (CF) x CU = SI unit, CF for glucose level is 0.055 and CF for lipid values is 0.02586].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Metformin (baseline) n=16</th>
<th>Metformin (12 weeks) n=16</th>
<th>Rosiglitazone (baseline) n=23</th>
<th>Rosiglitazone (12 weeks) n=23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.4±11.4</td>
<td>72.0±10.7*</td>
<td>74.3±11.4</td>
<td>73.6±11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.0±2.4</td>
<td>26.3±2.3</td>
<td>27.4±3.0</td>
<td>27.2±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>91.3±9.1</td>
<td>91.1±9.1</td>
<td>90.3±8.2</td>
<td>91.8±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>166.3±53.0</td>
<td>146.25±45.9</td>
<td>146.7±22.7 &amp;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-h PPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>255.4±88.1</td>
<td>212.4±61.6</td>
<td>245.7±102.6</td>
<td>211.2±62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1c (%)</td>
<td>8.0±1.2</td>
<td>7.0±1.0 #</td>
<td>8.4±1.8</td>
<td>7.3±1.1 &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>199.2±47.7</td>
<td>217.3±32.5</td>
<td>201.0±41.7</td>
<td>217.9±53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>120.3±36.0</td>
<td>130.4±22.6</td>
<td>115.5±34.8</td>
<td>129.6±40.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>47.2±10.6</td>
<td>48.1±12.2</td>
<td>53.3±14.2</td>
<td>52.1±12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>157.4±76.5</td>
<td>194.0±110.3</td>
<td>164.7±104.5</td>
<td>208.7±184.4 &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TGF-β1 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>28.24±6.01</td>
<td>29.61±7.30</td>
<td>30.95±7.60</td>
<td>29.02±6.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p = 0.054 vs Metformin (baseline), # 0.001 < p < 0.05 vs Metformin (baseline) and & 0.001 < p < 0.05 vs Rosiglitazone (baseline)
found to be strongly correlated with the 12th week TGF-β1 (r=0.504 p=0.001). Multiple regression analysis demonstrated that basal TGF-β1 is an important factor affecting the 12th week TGF-β1 levels (beta=0.594 p=0.001).

During the study, 4 patients from the metformin group and 6 patients from the rosiglitazone group were treated with simvastatin. Simvastatin therapy did not affect TGF-β1 levels significantly (basal level of TGF-β1 31.51±8.00 and 12th week level of TGF-β1 30.39±8.69 p=0.856 in simvastatin treated patients).

**DISCUSSION**

TGF-β1 is a key cytokine for the development and progression of renal and endothelial involvement in type 2 diabetes. TGF-β1 has fibrogenic properties and triggers hypertrophy and extracellular matrix deposition in renal and endothelial cells. TGF-β1 stimulates PAI-1 synthesis, induces monocyte chemotaxis and endothelial transmigration, promotes smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration and also stimulates proteoglycan production by smooth muscle cells, all of which constitute important steps for the development of atherosclerosis.

This study demonstrated that normotensive and normoalbuminuric patients with type 2 diabetes had higher levels of circulating TGF-β1 than healthy controls. Metformin or rosiglitazone therapy for twelve weeks did not significantly affect serum TGF-β1 levels.

Previous studies have shown the important role of hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia in increasing TGF-β1 expression through different pathways. Moreover, TGF-β1 levels may increase in the pre-diabetic stage, an effect attributed to hyperinsulinism. In a previous study we demonstrated that TGF-β1 levels are elevated in women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus.

As there is evidence demonstrating that adipose tissue might play a role in TGF-β1 release, the
healthy controls were selected in such a way as to match the BMI of the patient group so that our results demonstrate the unique role of hyperglycemia for TGF-β1 expression in the early stages of type 2 diabetes.

In the present study, 12 weeks’ metformin or rosiglitazone therapy did not significantly change the TGF-β1 levels in either group. This is the first study evaluating the effects of metformin or rosiglitazone on TGF-β1 levels in humans. Despite a significant reduction of Aβ levels in the metformin and rosiglitazone groups and of FPG levels in the rosiglitazone group, TGF-β1 levels remained constant. Furthermore, a slight reduction (p=0.05) of body weight and BMI in the metformin group did not affect TGF-β1 levels. The duration of therapy may be one of the possible factors explaining the lack of changes in TGF-β1 values. It is known that the pleotropic effects of insulin sensitizers depends on the duration of therapy. Thus, extending the therapy duration may cause changes in TGF-β1 levels. Besides, the drug dosage used in our study may not be adequate to cause changes in TGF-β1 levels. Additionally, we may suggest that in type 2 diabetes, once the TGF-β1 pathway is activated the conventional therapeutic interventions such as metformin or rosiglitazone could not significantly affect this particular pathway and specific treatment modalities such as ACE-inhibitors, AT-II antagonists or Anti-TGF-β therapy may be needed.

Hyperglycemia causes an inflammatory reaction.\(^1\) In our study, the elevated levels of TGF-β1 may reflect compensatory increases in subclinical inflammation, as TGF-β pathways have immunsupressive properties. It was previously demonstrated that TGF-β is associated with an inhibition of the immune cell activation by blocking antigen presentation and/or production of interleukins.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^5\) Suppression of lymphocyte proliferation and differentiation and inhibition of tumour necrosis alfa and interleukin-1.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^8\)

In the present study, TGF-β1 levels were found to correlate with age. Wang et al showed that aortic TGF-β1 expression and TGF-β1 activation increase with age and are dependent on the concomitant age-associated increase in MMP–2 activity. It was shown that with aging TGF-β1 contributes to increases in arterial stiffness.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^13\) Therefore, elevation of circulating TGF-β1 levels with aging may contribute to the progression of atherosclerotic plaques. Furthermore, by demonstrating the correlation between TGF-β1 and total and LDL-C, our results may offer an explanation for the role of the TGF-β system in the development of atherosclerosis.

We found that basal and 12th week TGF-β1 levels were strongly correlated. We may suggest that once the TGF-β pathway is altered, it is difficult to inhibit its expression. Thus, the efficacy of additional treatment modalities may be evaluated for their possible effects on circulating TGF-β1.

Our study has some limitations. As TGF-β1 is known as a key mediator in the development of endothelial dysfunction, measurement of flow mediated dilatation could have been helpful but it was not carried out. In addition, longer duration of therapy may be required for definitive conclusions, since some reports, especially about metformin therapy, have shown that for a beneficial effect on endothelial function, metformin therapy should be continued for at least 6 months.\(^13\)

In conclusion, type 2 diabetic patients, even without hypertension, microalbuminuria and any other diabetes related complications, have higher levels of circulating TGF-β1. Elevated levels of TGF-β1 in the long term may contribute to the endothelial and renal injury. Short-term treatment with metformin or rosiglitazone do not have a significant effect on TGF-β1 levels. Circulating TGF-β1 levels seem to be correlated with age, total and LDL-C and most clearly with FPG, providing a link between hyperglycemia and TGF-β1 expression.
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