Removal of a high-fat diet, but not voluntary exercise, reverses obesity and diabetic-like symptoms in male C57BL/6J mice
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Both the consumption of high-fat diets and exercise are known to produce alterations in metabolism and behavior. This study focuses on the effects of a change to a low-fat diet from a high-fat diet and voluntary exercise on obesity, type-2 diabetic-like symptoms, and locomotor behavior in male C57BL/6J mice. DESIGN: Mice were initially given either a high-fat diet or regular chow, along with a cage with a running-wheel to mimic exercise, or one without, to determine to what extent exercise affects these symptoms. Then half of the mice given a high-fat diet were switched to regular chow to ascertain if the switch in diet would improve type-2 diabetic-like and obesity symptoms. RESULTS: Wheel-running alone produced an improvement in insulin in mice continuously fed a high-fat diet (p=0.006), but running-wheels did not produce any further improvements in mice with regular chow replacement (p=0.999) or in controls (p=0.996). Replacement of a high-fat diet with regular chow led to physiological improvements in insulin (p=0.012) and leptin (p <0.001), glucose tolerance (p <0.001), and obesity (p <0.001), more so than exercise alone. Mice consuming a high-fat diet without a wheel exhibited reduced home-cage activity compared to controls after the diet switch (p=0.030), while no reduction was found in running-wheel activity between high-fat diet and regular chow consuming mice after switching diets (p=0.516). CONCLUSIONS: These results suggest that exercise is only partially beneficial to improving health outcomes in mice consuming a high-fat diet, whereas incorporating a better diet, even without exercise, improves quality of health and can suppress T2DM symptoms and related conditions more so than exercise alone.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) is caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors. Environmental factors leading to T2DM are consumption of high-fat diets and high-sugar diets along with a sedentary lifestyle, this typically contributing to the development of obesity that often, though not always, coincides with T2DM and increases the likelihood of developing insulin and leptin resistance. Mouse models are available for characterization of the progression of obesity and insulin and leptin resistance. Environmentally producing T2DM-like symptoms in rodent models is most commonly done through feeding a high-fat diet to susceptible strains (e.g., C57BL/6J (B6) mice), which, as a result develop insulin resistance, obesity, and hyperglycemia.3

The consensus is that moderate caloric restriction is the optimal way to lose weight and improve health.4 Human studies have shown that caloric restriction can produce improvements in insulin sensitivity even without increased physical activity.5 In animal models, reducing food consumption improves obesity,6 inflammation, and other metabolic processes.7 Another suggestion frequently offered by health professionals for decreasing the risk of developing obesity is increased activity and exercise.8 Earlier studies investigating the effects of exercise on weight loss have demonstrated that exercise can moderately reduce obesity in some cases.9,10 But whether exercise can produce weight loss on its own has been called into question, as more recent studies have reported that exercise alone has no effect on weight.11,12 This latter observation appears to be corroborated by the fact that the United States has one of the highest levels of physical activity and yet one of the greatest rates of childhood obesity.13 Nevertheless, exercise, even without weight loss, is beneficial in alleviating many negative physiologic features of obesity/T2DM, including insulin resistance.14

In the rodent literature there are two methods commonly employed to mimic exercise: voluntary wheel-running where animals are given free access to a running-wheel, or forced exercise through treadmills or motorized running-wheels. Both voluntary wheel-running15,16 and forced exercise17,18 are effective in improving health and reducing obesity in rodents fed a high-fat diet; however, these animals were still overweight and exhibited more unhealthy characteristics than sedentary animals on low-fat diets (low-fat diet). Switching high-fat diet fed rodents to a low-fat diet can promote weight loss,19 but there is some evidence showing that these animals may not fully recover from the obesogenic effects of the high-fat diet and remain heavier than their low-fat diet controls even after the high-fat diet is removed.20 However, few studies in the animal literature have investigated the combined effects of exercise and consumption of an improved diet on physiological characteristics or if the obesity and type-2 diabetic phenotype can be improved after a shorter timeframe of healthy behavior (weeks as opposed to months). Thus, the present study investigated whether the replacement of a high-fat diet with a healthier diet and the addition of exercise in the form of running-wheel access would promote more weight loss and improvements in T2DM-like symptoms than either exercise or calorie replacement alone in mice.

METHODS

Ethical Statement

All procedures performed in studies involving animals were approved by the Bridgewater State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Animals

Sixty-six (66) male C57BL6/J (B6) mice were acquired from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) at six weeks of age, maintained in a 12:12 Light-Dark cycle, and given regular lab chow (physiological fuel value 3.36 kcals per gram; calorie percentages 13.4% fat, 29.8% protein, and 56.8% carbohydrate, Lab-Diet 5001, St. Louis, MO, USA) and water ad libitum. The mice were immediately housed individually with either running-wheel access (W) (wheel diameter: 23 cm) or no running-wheel (NW) (IR-beam for home-cage activity recording, Star Life Sciences, Oakmont, PA, USA) from the start of the experiment. After one-week acclimation, the mice in each housing condition either continued with regular chow (RC) or were given a high-fat diet (5.10 kcals per gram, 61.6% fat, 18.1% protein, and 20.3% carbohydrate, TestDiet 58Y1). After nine additional weeks, the
high-fat diet groups were further split into high-fat continuous (HFC - continuing to consume a high-fat diet) or high-fat replacement (HFR - RC replacing the high-fat diet) groups. Thus, this study employed six groups: HFC NW (N=10), HFC W (N=14), HFR NW (N=10), HFR W (N=11), RC NW (N=9), RC W (N=12). Mice in the HFR groups (experiencing the food switch) were preselected prior to the start of the experimental treatments and were equally distributed to avoid any shelf effects and to avoid selecting only the most obese mice for the high-fat diet removal. In summary, all mice were subjected to one week of acclimation, nine weeks of treatment prior to food switch, and four weeks of post-food-switch analysis. Weekly measurements of body mass and food and water intake were measured. All of the procedures described below were conducted during the middle of the light period. The timing of the tests described below is outlined in Figure 1.

**Activity Recording**

All mice had their wheel-running or home-cage locomotor activity recorded continuously throughout the experiment in their home-cage, as previously described. Wheel-running behavior was measured as number of wheel turns and home-cage activity was measured by number of infra-red cage activity breaks, both per 10 minute bin. Locomotor activity was analyzed as previously described.

**Assessment of Glucose Tolerance**

Two Glucose Tolerance Tests (GTT) via intraperitoneal injection of 2g/kg glucose were conducted: one prior to HFR groups switch to RC (15 weeks of age) and a second after the food switch (Week 19). Twelve hours prior to testing, food was removed, wheels were locked, and new bedding was provided. Blood was collected via tail-prick and measured with One Touch Ultra 2 Glucose Monitors (Time 0), and then at 30, 60, and 120 minutes post-glucose injections.

**Measurement of Insulin, Leptin, and Triglyceride Levels**

Insulin, leptin, and triglyceride levels were measured prior to (Week 16) and after the food switch (Week 20), after a four-hour fast. Serum was obtained by centrifuging whole blood at 4 °C for 20 minutes at 2,000 g and hormone levels were measured using the Ultrasensitive Mouse Insulin and Leptin ELISA Kits (Crystal Chem, Downers Grove, IL, USA). Levels of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 7</th>
<th>Week 7 through Week 16</th>
<th>Week 16 through Week 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Chow (RC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fat Continuous (HFC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fat Removal (HFR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose Tolerance Before</td>
<td>Glucose Tolerance After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Panel and Histology Before</td>
<td>Blood Panel and Histology After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Experimental Protocols. The schematic of food availability and timing of physiological tests are outlined. Week 6 to 7 was the acclimation time where all groups received RC. From Week 7 to Week 16, HFC and HFR groups consumed a high-fat diet. From Week 17 to Week 20, HFR mice were given RC to replace the high-fat diet. Glucose tolerance tests were conducted during Week 15 and Week 19 for prior to and after the food switch, respectively. Blood and liver tissue were collected during Week 16 and Week 20 for prior to and after the food switch, respectively. NOTE: High-Fat Continuous/High-Fat Removal/High-Fat Diet Food.
triglycerides were measured using the CardioChek System (Polymer Technology Systems Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA), by applying 15 μL of whole blood onto the test strip.

**Histological Analysis**

This study used liver lipidosis as a measurement of obesity. Lipidosis of the liver was determined by identification of increased cytoplasmic vacuolation of hepatocytes with multiple clear discrete vacuoles, which indicates altered lipid metabolism and correlates with obesity in diabetics.23 Approximately one-third of each group were euthanized via CO₂ narcosis 10 weeks into the experiment at the time of the food switch (16 weeks of age) to provide histological samples, while the rest continued in the experiment for an additional 4 weeks. Liver tissue was collected from each mouse and stored in phosphate-buffered 10%-formalin solution for 3 weeks, then sent to the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center Rodent Histopathology Core (Boston, MA, USA) for processing and staining with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Hepatic lipidosis was ranked for each animal using a scale of 0-3, where 0 was normal, 1 was minimal, 2 was moderate, and 3 was severe.

**Statistical Analysis**

All results provided are represented as mean±SEM. Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for each mouse for the GTT using Prism 5.0 (Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). All statistical tests below were two-tailed, utilized $p<0.05$ as significance level, and were conducted using Systat 12.0 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Paired t-tests with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons were used to uncover before vs. after activity parameters. A Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyze the rankings of hepatic lipidosis. Weight and kcals consumed were analyzed via a repeated measures two-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVAs were conducted to determine differences among the different groups, with Tukey pairwise comparisons, for all other dependent variables.

**RESULTS**

**Body Mass and Energy Intake**

Prior to high-fat diet access (Week 7) there were no differences in body mass among any of the groups for either diet ($p=0.351$) or wheel access ($p=0.168$). For prior to the diet switch (Weeks 8 through 16), between groups repeated measures uncovered both a diet and wheel difference, but no interaction. The HFC and HFR groups (which were both consuming a high-fat diet) showed increased body weight over time compared to RC mice ($p<0.001$). Additionally, running-wheel access also produced significantly reduced body weight compared to sedentary controls, regardless of diet ($p=0.012$). At 16 weeks, HFR mice were given RC food to replace the high-fat diet. From Weeks 17 through 20 (after the switch), diet still produced significant alterations to body weight, whereby HFC mice were larger than HFR and RC ($p<0.001$); however, the running-wheel was no longer modifying body mass ($p=0.375$) (Figure 2a). Moreover, total weight gain (Week 20 minus Week 7) was significantly different in mice consuming different diets regardless of running-wheel access. The mean difference (g) for HFC compared with HFR and RC was 8.29 and 9.12 g, respectively (both $p<0.001$), while the mean difference between RC and HFR was 0.83 g ($p=0.846$).

Prior to the switching of the diets, a two-way repeated measures ANOVA uncovered a diet and wheel difference concerning weekly kcals consumed among groups but no interaction. Both HFC ($p<0.001$) and HFR ($p<0.001$) consumed fewer kcals than RC prior to the food switch. Furthermore, animals with running-wheel access regardless of diet also consumed more kcals compared to mice without a wheel ($p<0.001$). From Weeks 17 through 20, food intake was not different between diet groups ($p=0.579$), but mice with running-wheels still consumed more kcals per week during this timeframe than sedentary animals ($p=0.036$) (Figure 2b).

**Activity Patterns**

Home-cage and wheel-running activity are presented and summarized in Table 1. Prior to high-fat diet removal, there were no differences between the HFR and HFC groups as concerns total activity ($p=0.949$); both HFC ($p=0.999$) and HFR ($p=0.953$) groups exhibited similar levels of locomotor activity both on and off running-wheels compared to RC. As expected, running-wheel access increased overall activity compared to home-cage activity ($p<0.001$).
Mice consuming a high-fat diet had no issues running on the wheel. After switching diets, before vs. after analysis revealed that HFC NW mice exhibited reduced overall activity \((p=0.030)\), dark activity \((p=0.009)\), bout length \((p=0.039)\), and counts per bout \((p=0.005)\) as time progressed, but no change occurred in light activity \((p=0.057)\) or bouts per day \((p=0.999)\). This reduction in activity was not observed in the other sedentary mice: RC NW \((p=0.195, p=0.930, p=0.292, p=0.170)\) or HFR NW \((p=0.475, p=0.592, p=0.885, p=0.999)\), nor in mice consuming a high-fat diet continuously with running-wheel access (HFC W) \((p=0.999, p=0.589, p=0.052, p=0.100)\) as regards overall activity, dark activity, bout length, and counts per bout, respectively. In summary, sedentary mice continuously consuming a high-fat diet exhibited

---

**Table 1.** Activity Profile and bout analysis (mean ± SEM) for all diet and running-wheel conditions. The top portion of the Table (Before) is activity exhibited prior to the food switch (Weeks 8-16), while the bottom part (After) is activity recorded after the RC replacement (Weeks 17-20). *Italics* indicate significant differences between Before and After activity parameters, at \(p<0.05\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Wheel</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Light Average</th>
<th>Dark Average</th>
<th>Bout Length (min)</th>
<th>Counts per Bout</th>
<th>Bouts per Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>25.83 ± 2.15</td>
<td>12.48 ± 1.53</td>
<td>39.23 ± 3.05</td>
<td>42.70 ± 2.20</td>
<td>290.18 ± 29.50</td>
<td>11.35 ± 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>52.09 ± 4.15</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.47</td>
<td>98.08 ± 8.14</td>
<td>64.33 ± 7.86</td>
<td>1093.79 ± 164.44</td>
<td>7.20 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>17.60 ± 1.13</td>
<td>7.27 ± 0.63</td>
<td>27.91 ± 1.80</td>
<td>38.81 ± 2.05</td>
<td>209.61 ± 19.23</td>
<td>11.00 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>60.30 ± 5.40</td>
<td>4.58 ± 1.14</td>
<td>115.99 ± 9.99</td>
<td>116.47 ± 10.99</td>
<td>2219.35 ± 254.00</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>19.21 ± 1.62</td>
<td>7.50 ± 0.71</td>
<td>32.73 ± 2.70</td>
<td>40.65 ± 1.76</td>
<td>241.53 ± 20.13</td>
<td>10.67 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>55.85 ± 3.99</td>
<td>3.86 ± 1.16</td>
<td>107.99 ± 7.65</td>
<td>89.72 ± 7.15</td>
<td>1584.19 ± 182.95</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Wheel</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Light Average</th>
<th>Dark Average</th>
<th>Bout Length (min)</th>
<th>Counts per Bout</th>
<th>Bouts per Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>25.23 ± 2.54</td>
<td>11.35 ± 1.98</td>
<td>39.15 ± 3.70</td>
<td>34.99 ± 2.99</td>
<td>226.10 ± 32.55</td>
<td>12.18 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>50.82 ± 4.07</td>
<td>5.98 ± 1.50</td>
<td>95.65 ± 7.80</td>
<td>56.11 ± 6.88</td>
<td>923.36 ± 136.55</td>
<td>7.90 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>11.97 ± 0.55</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.48</td>
<td>19.04 ± 0.79</td>
<td>29.19 ± 0.69</td>
<td>1275.6 ± 6.46</td>
<td>12.20 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFC</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>60.59 ± 5.05</td>
<td>4.77 ± 1.13</td>
<td>108.41 ± 12.00</td>
<td>71.40 ± 9.04</td>
<td>1268.36 ± 196.24</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>21.30 ± 2.93</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.95</td>
<td>35.69 ± 5.02</td>
<td>41.20 ± 2.40</td>
<td>265.47 ± 28.38</td>
<td>11.70 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFR</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>45.31 ± 3.00</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.73</td>
<td>87.96 ± 5.70</td>
<td>45.48 ± 3.69</td>
<td>661.65 ± 88.32</td>
<td>7.63 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reduced activity overall during their active phase, which was a result not observed in mice which did not consume a high-fat diet throughout the experiment or for mice given running-wheel access.

**Glucose Tolerance**

A GTT was conducted before switching the HFR groups to RC (Figure 3a, b). Mean fasting glucose levels (mg/dL) prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 219±20, HFC W 191±9, HFR NW 208±16, HFR W 215±11, RC NW 169±11, RC W 140±6. Fasting (Time 0) glucose was significantly increased in HFC (p <0.001) and HFR (p <0.001) compared to the RC groups, though they were similar to each other (p=0.908). Mean fasting levels prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 504±87±3623, HFC W 445±7±2198, HFR NW 429±17±2633, HFR W 425±38±2895, RC NW 291±35±4276, RC W 219±09±580. HFC (p=0.001) and HFR (p=0.001) groups exhibited slower glucose clearance than RC (p=0.001); HFC and HFR mice exhibited similar glucose tolerance (p=0.148). Wheel-running did not affect fasting glucose (p=0.143) but improved glucose tolerance regardless of diet (p=0.041).

The second GTT was performed three weeks after removing the high-fat diet and replacing it with RC for the HFR mice (19 weeks of age) (Figures 3c, d). Mean fasting glucose levels (mg/dL) after the switch in diet were as follows: HFC NW 219±21, HFC W 190±9, HFR NW 125±9, HFR W 138±8, RC NW 150±9, RC W 131±6. HFC groups had elevated fasting glucose in contrast to HFR (p <0.001) and RC groups (p <0.001). AUCs after the switch in diet were as fol-

![Figure 3. Glucose Tolerance Tests (GTT) with Area Under the Curve (AUC).](image-url)
Blood Panel

Insulin, leptin, and triglycerides were measured before and after switching foods (Figures 4a, b, c, respectively). Mean insulin levels (ng/mL) prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 1.91±0.49, HFC W 0.85±0.04, HFR NW 2.11±0.36, HFR W 0.99±0.43, RC NW 0.76±0.08, RC W 0.31±0.06. Prior to RC replacement, insulin levels were significantly lower in RC groups than in HFC (p=0.019) and HFR (p=0.003), while HFC and HFR groups were not different (p=0.834). Wheel-running, regardless of diet, produced improvements in insulin (p=0.001). After switching the food source for HFR mice, the mean insulin levels were as follows: HFC NW 3.82±0.68, HFC W 2.21±0.37, HFR NW 1.30±0.67, HFR W 0.58±0.06, RC NW 0.52±0.08, RC W 0.65±0.08. Animals animals consuming HFD benefited from wheel access, but RC consuming animals did not (p=0.012). More specifically, running-wheels were effective in reducing hyperinsulinemia in HFC mice (p=0.006) compared to sedentary ones; however, they were ineffective in further reducing insulin levels for HFR (p=0.999) and RC (p=0.999). HFR and RC groups exhibited similar insulin levels (p=0.980) while having reduced insulin compared to HFC (p<0.001).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Blood panel for Before and After the food switch. a) Insulin Levels b) Leptin Levels c) Triglyceride Levels. * indicates HFC and HFR groups greater than RC groups, † indicates HFC groups greater than HFR and RC groups, # indicates significant pairwise difference, ‡ indicates Wheel difference, all at p<0.05. The left side of the graph shows before (indicated by B) and the right side shows after food switch (indicated by A).
Mean leptin levels (ng/mL) prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 11.59±1.56, HFC W 12.66±1.10, HFR NW 12.34±1.26, HFR W 7.23±2.61, RC NW 1.79±0.36, RC W 1.61±0.66. Prior to RC replacement, leptin levels were significantly lower in RC groups than in HFC (p=0.001) and HFR (p=0.001), while HFC and HFR groups were not different (p=0.216). Wheel-running, regardless of diet, produced no alterations in leptin levels (p=0.188) during the first measurement. Mean leptin levels (ng/mL) after the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 13.43±0.10, HFC W 13.40±0.20, HFR NW 4.10±1.97, HFR W 1.28±0.39, RC NW 1.37±0.13, RC W 1.10±0.29. After switching diets, HFC exhibited increased leptin levels compared to HFR (p<0.001) and RC (p<0.001), while HFR and RC groups were similar to each other (p=0.150). Regardless of diet, wheel-running produced reduced leptin levels (p=0.050) during the second time point.

Mean triglyceride levels (mg/dL) prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 99.5±13.4, HFC W 92.0±1.4, HFR NW 90.0±12.7, HFR W 90.1±14.1, RC NW 66.5±3.5, RC W 56.5±7.8. Prior to RC replacement, triglyceride levels were significantly lower in RC groups than in HFC (p=0.007) and HFR (p=0.017), while HFC and HFR groups were not different (p=0.946). After switching diets, mean triglyceride levels (mg/dL) prior to the diet switch were as follows: HFC NW 121.1±17.9, HFC W 122.7±13.8, HFR NW 68.8±8.2, HFR W 65.7±6.6, RC NW 78.0±9.9, RC W 62.3±6.5. HFR mice exhibited similar triglyceride levels to RC (p=0.954) and lower levels compared to HFC (p<0.001). Wheel-running did not affect triglycerides before (p=0.362) or after food switch (p=0.940).

**Liver Histology**

Before the food switch, mean liver lipodosis rankings were: HFC NW 1.0±0, HFC W 1.0±0, HFR NW 0.67±0.50, HFR W 0.67±0.33, RC NW 0.0±0.0, RC W 0.25±0.25. HFC mice exhibited increased hepatic lipodosis (p=0.030), but HFR mice only had a non-significant increase in rankings (p=0.088) compared to RC. No differences were present between HFR and HFC groups (p=0.430). After the switch, mean liver lipodosis rankings were: HFC NW 1.29±0.19, HFC W 1.22±0.22, HFR NW 0.0±0.0, HFR W 0.10±0.10, RC NW 0.17±0.17, RC W 0.0±0.0. HFC groups had fatter livers than both HFR (p<0.001) and RC (p<0.001), while no difference was found between RC and HFR (p=0.97). Thus, mice continuously eating a high-fat diet (HFC) exhibited a continued increase of lipodosis, which was not observed in the other groups (HFR and RC). Wheel-running produced no differences in level of hepatic lipodosis before (p=0.520) or after switching diets (p=0.427) (Fig. 5a-e).

**DISCUSSION**

These results illustrate that switching from high-fat diets to regular rodent chow can produce rapid improvements of obesity (body mass and hepatic lipodosis) and T2DM-like characteristics (insulin, glucose tolerance) in a diet-induced obesity (DIO) mouse model. While most DIO models consume additional kcals on a high-fat diet,3,20 the mice in the current study and one other did not,24 yet were still obese compared to the controls. These results indicate that it is not just the increase in calories consumed that can lead to obesity in these DIO mice but food composition as well. Interestingly, both food switch (i.e., *ad libitum* feeding of regular chow)3,19 and caloric restriction of a high-fat diet19,25 produce significant improvements in obesity and insulin resistance in rodent models. While there are numerous parallel results between calorie restriction and switching to a low-fat diet, one remaining question is whether leptin levels are similarly affected by these two treatments. In the current study, switching to regular chow seems to completely reverse hyperleptinemia in mouse models, but mice with calorie restriction on a high-fat diet still had slightly elevated levels compared to controls,25 indicating that there may be hunger effects of restricted high-fat diet consumption compared to *ad libitum* regular chow treatment. A study which investigated both restricted high-fat diet and switch to regular chow showed mice having similarly reduced levels of leptin compared to high-fat diet consuming mice.19 Additional studies may be needed to fully determine whether it is the reductions in caloric intake or in fat content that most effectively reduce leptin levels in obese individuals.

In B6 mice, switching to regular chow can produce a complete reversal of obesity, as seen in the cur-
Figure 5. Examples of Liver Histology. a) Rank 3, b) Rank 2, c) Rank 1, d) Rank 0, e) Graphical representation of summarized liver rankings. Differences between the ranks were determined by the amount of lipid vacuolation (clear/white spaces) present in hepatocytes. * = significantly different from each other, and ^ = HFC significantly greater than HFR and RC at $p < 0.05$. The left side of the graph shows Before (indicated by B) and the right side shows After food switch (indicated by A).

Recent study and one other, though other studies have found that the obesogenic effects of a high-fat diet are more persistent. These differences may be due to the duration of the high-fat diet feeding. Rodents
fed a high-fat diet for shorter durations (<17 weeks, as was the case in this study) are able to have their obesity completely reversed by the switch to regular chow, but if further extended, permanent alterations in obesity and T2DM symptoms remain. On the other hand, Guo et al. demonstrated that mice fed a high-fat diet for only 7 weeks do not fully recover from high-fat diet treatment and remained heavier than the controls throughout the study. The cause of this difference might be the age of the animals. While the current study and Parekh et al. used young mice (4-6 weeks), Guo et al. used relatively older mice (3 months); older animals and humans exhibit greater difficulty in losing body mass compared to younger individuals even with calorie restriction.

While the switch to regular chow led to the complete reversal of the obesogenic effects of high-fat diet HFR mice, the exercise produced no further improvements in body weight and T2DM symptoms. In a human study investigating the effects of simultaneous caloric restriction and exercise, combined exercise and caloric restriction produced no additional improvements in obesity markers, including body weight, compared to individuals with caloric restriction alone. This result suggests that as body mass decreases, further weight loss due to exercise becomes more difficult. Indeed, a human study has shown that exercise does not produce further significant weight loss in already lean persons, probably due to reduced energy expenditure in these individuals.

The current study and others show that voluntary wheel-running and forced exercise via a treadmill or motorized running-wheel demonstrate moderate improvements comparable to each other regarding obesity and T2DM symptoms in exercising rodents consuming a high-fat diet. One study using rats examining both forced and voluntary exercise simultaneously illustrated that regardless of exercise method, exercise produces improvements in insulin resistance. Despite these parallel results linking improvements in T2DM and obesity symptoms to any form of exercise, there are differences between forced and voluntary exercise regarding other physiological outcomes. For example, mice consuming a high-fat diet have shown reduced inflammation when given access to running-wheels; conversely, forced exercise may promote inflammation and differently affect the gut microbiome compared to voluntary wheel-running in rodent models. Future studies investigating the effects of exercise on physiology will need to establish which parameters they wish to investigate and whether to use voluntary or forced exercise in the experiments.

The current results corroborate a previous study revealing that mice consuming a high-fat diet give evidence of reduced activity as time progresses.
This reduction in activity manifests itself by reductions in activity during the active period (dark) and with reductions in the number of counts per bout and length of bouts, but not number of bouts per day. These results mean that mice continuously fed a high-fat diet have reduced output during locomotor events compared to controls, but have the same number of locomotor events (i.e., they move the same number of times per day but make fewer movements during those times). Surprisingly, the current results and a previous study\textsuperscript{24} demonstrate that when given running-wheel access, mice continuously consuming a high-fat diet do not show the same reduction in locomotor activity as their sedentary counterparts. This result indicates that the increased sedentary behavior in obese mice consuming a high-fat diet without a running-wheel might not be due to their inability to move due to increased body mass. Voluntary wheel-running is a rewarding activity for rodents\textsuperscript{42} and leads to increased activity compared to animals without running-wheels.\textsuperscript{21} In humans, obesity can lead to avoidance of physical activity.\textsuperscript{43,44} Physical inactivity and obesity can be locked into a vicious cycle where obesity can make individuals more sedentary, while inactivity can be more likely to make individuals more obese and unhealthy.\textsuperscript{45} These results indicate that individuals with obesity must make it a priority to maintain an exercise regimen to promote healthy outcomes, prevent the development of T2DM, and thwart the positive feedback of increased obesity and inactivity feeding into each other.

In conclusion, removing a high-fat diet and replacing it with regular chow was effective in quickly reversing the increased obesity and T2DM characteristics in mice previously consuming a high-fat diet. Voluntary wheel-running did not produce further improvements in these characteristics, as mice with the high-fat diet removed became as lean as control mice even without running-wheels. In contrast, wheel-running by itself produced improvements in insulin levels in mice consuming a high-fat diet. Nevertheless, these mice still exhibited obesity, hepatic lipidosis, and other increased diabetic-like symptoms (glucose tolerance) compared to mice eating regular chow, indicating that exercise alone cannot completely prevent the negative health consequences of an unhealthy lifestyle.
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