Preoperative imaging and localization of small sized insulinoma with EUS-guided fine needle tattooing: a case report

George N. Zografos¹, Alexandra Stathopoulou², George Mitropapas¹, John Karoubalis³, George Kontogeorgos⁴, Gregory Kaltsas², George Piaditis², George I. Papastratis¹

¹Third Department of Surgery, ²Department of Endocrinology, ³Department of Gastroenterology, ⁴Department of Pathology, Athens General Hospital, Athens, 115 27, Greece

ABSTRACT
We report a case of an insulinoma that was not identified on either Computerized Tomography (CT) scan and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) revealed a small insulinoma located at the body of the pancreas, which was histologically confirmed. The patient underwent a laparotomy but no tumour was identified either on palpation or with intra-operative ultrasound (IOUS). Subsequently, a further EUS was performed and after the tumour was identified was injected with blue dye. The patient then underwent a second exploratory laparotomy and a tumour at the posterior aspect of the pancreas close to the left side of the portal vein was identified and was successfully excised. Histology confirmed the presence of an endocrine tumour staining for chromogranin A and insulin. The patient had an uneventful postoperative recovery and has developed no further episodes of hypoglycaemia 3 years after surgery.
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INTRODUCTION
Insulinomas are rare, mostly benign endocrine tumours that can effectively be cured with surgical excision¹. The majority of pancreatic insulinomas is of small size and may not be easily exposed during the surgical procedure particularly if they are not located at the anterior surface of the gland². Traditionally, blind distal pancreatectomy had been the standard surgical procedure when a tumour could not be visualized and/or palpated intra-operatively. However, with the advance in diagnostic and localization techniques, blind resections for small and benign insulinomas have been avoided⁴. Preservation of the pancreatic parenchyma is currently considered mandatory as insulinomas are mainly benign tumours and most patients remain well following successful excision even after prolonged follow-up⁵. Pre-operative Endoscopic Ultrasonography (EUS) and Intraoperative Ultrasound (IOUS) as well as careful surgical palpation are associated with an almost 100% sensitivity in identifying eutopically (endo-pancreatic) localized small insulinomas that
have eluded detection with pre-operative conventional imaging studies.

We report a case of a small pancreatic insulinoma for which we have operated on twice. In the first operation a soft tissue mass, which was in continuation with the pancreas, was removed and was proven to be a lymph node. EUS provided important aim in guiding fine needle for preoperative tattooing (FNT) of the tumour area and enucleation of the tumour was easily performed. The patient remains cured almost 3 years following the successful removal of the insulinoma.

CASE REPORT

A 17-year-old female presented to the Emergency Department after an episode of loss of consciousness during the night accompanied by seizures. Her relatives gave a history of a fasting period of more than 8 hours before the initiation of her symptoms. Clinical examination revealed no neck stiffness or any other focal neurological signs. A serum glucose taken at the Emergency Department while the patient was unconscious was low and the patient was given intravenous injection of hypertonic glucose. She was admitted to the Department of Neurology for further investigation. CT scan and MRI of her brain did not demonstrate any brain lesion. However, as she remained hypoglycemic (serum glucose levels ranging from 1.6 to 3 mmol/L) a provisional diagnosis of endogenous hyperinsulinemia was made and the patient was transferred to the Department of Endocrinology. The patient required a continuous infusion of 10% glucose to maintain low normal serum glucose levels. Fasting, under close medical supervision, was followed by symptomatic and biochemical hypoglycemia within 8-hours from the initiation of the test and during an oral glucose tolerance test (Table 1). As very low serum glucose levels were associated with inappropriately high serum insulin (>6 IU/L) and detectable C-peptide levels, the diagnosis of endogenous hyperinsulinism was made. As there was no family history of hypercalcemia, pituitary and/or other pancreatic tumours and her serum calcium levels and anterior pituitary hormone levels and pituitary imaging were normal, a sporadic insulinoma was highly suggestive.

Following the biochemical confirmation of hyperinsulinemia an attempt to localize the insulin secreting-tumour was made. Contrast-augmented CT and MR imaging of the pancreas as well as radiouuclear imaging with $^{111}$In-octreotide failed to localize a pancreatic lesion. An endoscopic ultrasound identified a tumour at the tail of the pancreas, in close proximity to the spleen. A fine needle aspiration (FNA) was performed in order to acquire enough material for cytological analysis using MediGlob 22G needles. Cytology followed by immunocytochemistry for chromogranin and insulin suggested a pancreatic insulinoma. The patient remained normoglycemic while receiving treatment with oral diazoxide (150 mg/day). Subsequently, she underwent a laparotomy and the pancreas was exposed. The tumour was not identified and the tail of the pancreas was mobilized without success. IOUS did not locate the tumour. A soft-tissue mass in close contact with the pancreas was removed. We then elected to close the abdomen in order to avoid unnecessary blind distal pancreatectomy and perform a new EUS later. The patient had an uneventful re-

| Table 1. Serum glucose, insulin and C peptide during prolonged fasting starting at 10.00 |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                 | 12:30  | 14:30  | 18:00  |
| Serum Glucose (mmols/L)         | 1.7    | 1.2    | 1      |
| Serum Insulin (IU/L)            | 16.7   | 10.9   | 11.2   |
| Serum C-Peptide (nmol/L)        | 10.2   | 6.3    | 6.6    |
| Oral glucose tolerance test     |        |        |        |
| Time (min)                      | 0      | 30     | 60     |
| Serum Glucose (mmol/L)          | 1.6    | 4.2    | 6.8    |
| Serum insulin (IU/L)            | 11.9   | 59.5   | 36.9   |

| Time (min)                      | 90     | 120    | 150    | 180    |
| Serum blood glucose (mmol/L)    | 4.3    | 9.5    | 8.1    | 6.5    |
| Serum insulin (IU/L)            | 30.4   | 44.5   | 30.4   | 17.2   |
covery and two weeks later a further EUS was performed. The examination was carried out using Pentax’s EUS EG 3630U and Hitachi’s console EUB 6000 Victor, which clearly identified a hypoechoegenic lesion of 0.98x0.82cm in size, with clear smooth borders, located in-between the body and tail of pancreas, that showed intense and diffuse vascularization in the Doppler signal. Trans-gastric injection of 1cc methylene-blue in the tumour through fine needle was done for tattooing the lesion (Figure 1).

Following the successful localization of the lesion the patient was taken to the operating room and a second laparotomy was performed. The anterior surface of the pancreas was explored and a coloured area at the lower surface of the body of the pancreas was clearly visualized (Figure 2). However no tumour was identified either on inspection and/or palpation. Intra-operative ultrasound was performed and detected the tumour at the tattooed-indicated area. The pancreas was then fully mobilized and the tumour was identified at the inferior and posterior aspect of the pancreas, adjacent to the left side of portal vein. The insulinoma was then easily enucleated and the tumour measuring 1.8x1x1cm was removed and submitted to histology. On sectioning, the specimen was whitish with solid, homogeneous appearance. By light microscopy, the neoplasm consisted of solid cellular nests, separated by vascularized connective tissue thin septa (Figure 3). The tumour cells were polyhedral or polar with spheroid nucleus and focally conspicuous nucleolus. Mitoses and apoptosis were scarce. No vascular or nerve invasion was noted. Immunohistochemistry was conclusively positive for chromogranin and insulin and negative for all additional peptides and biogenic amines examined (glucagon, somatostatin, PP, VIP and serotonin). The Ki-67 (clone MIB-1) proliferation maker was positive in a less than 1% of tumour cells (Figure 3, 4). According to these morphological results, the neoplasm was diagnosed as a well-differentiated endocrine tumour produc-
A broad differential diagnosis is required including a search for sulphonylurea or related drug in the plasma or urine. Following the biochemical confirmation of an insulinoma, successful excision of the tumour is associated with a normal life expectancy. It is therefore important to obtain an accurate localization of the tumour.

Recently, several prospective studies have investigated the relative utility of currently available techniques, and helped to establish a diagnostic work-up on evidence-based information. Because of its high sensitivity and its ability to obtain whole body images, scintigraphy with \(^{111}\text{In-octreotide}\) is considered the initial imaging procedure of choice for gastroenteropancreatic tumours (including carcinoids and ICT). However, specifically in insulinomas scintigraphy with \(^{111}\text{In-octreotide}\) has been shown to be less sensitive than other ICT, as it was also demonstrated in the present case, probably due to the lack of somatostatin receptors type 2 and the small size of the lesions. EUS allows the positioning of a high frequency (7.5-10 MHz) transducer in close proximity to the pancreas. Using this approach lesions as small as 5 mm as well as tumours located in the bowel can be detected. Although there is a potential “blind-spot” at the splenic hilum, high sensitivities have been obtained. A recent single-center prospective study revealed a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 95% respectively in localization of intra-pancreatic lesions. EUS detected all tumours visualized by any other conventional technique questioning the necessity for other imaging modalities. This was clearly evident in our patient in whom EUS successfully identified the lesion; in addition, it aided further to the diagnosis as FNA confirmed the endocrine nature of the tumour. IOUS also allows direct examination of the pancreas using high resolution 7.5-10 MHz transducers. The combination of IOUS and surgical palpation has led to 97% cure rates in patients with benign insulinomas. However, there are cases, as illustrated by our patient, in which the exact pre-operative localization of the tumour may improve further the diagnostic accuracy of IOUS and thus eliminate the cases of surgical failure. In addition, besides facilitating surgical resection, IOUS can help define the appropriate plane of resection by identifying multiple small tumours.

DISCUSSION

The case illustrates the inherent difficulties that may arise in the localization and thus definite treatment of patients with insulinomas. Insulinomas account for 60% of islet cell tumours (ICT) and are typically hypervascular, solitary small tumours, 90% of which measure less than 2 cm and 30% measuring less than 1 cm in diameter. Approximately 10% are multiple, 10% are malignant and 4-7% are associated with MEN I; these tumours are usually multiple and can be malignant in up to 25%. Almost all insulinomas are located within the pancreas, even though aberrant cases have been described in the duodenum, ileum, lung and cervix. The tumour is characterized by hypersecretion of insulin and the subsequent development of symptoms of neuroglycopenia and symptoms resulting from the catecholaminergic response, which may not always be present. As occasionally symptoms are not specific, a prolonged repeated fasting test (72 hours) was not associated with any hypoglycemia. A repeated MR scan of the abdomen revealed no abnormality in either the pancreas and/or the adrenal glands.
in patients with MEN I. The majority of ICT are isodense on unenhanced CT and will not be seen without intravenous contrast enhancement. Dual-face helical CT scan allows multiphase imaging during a single bolus of contrast administration, and can achieve sensitivities in the range 82-92%. A comparative study showed that the sensitivity of T1-weighted MR imaging is equivalent to delayed Portal Venous Phase (PVP) dynamic CT. Due to the relative rarity of islet cell tumours, it remains difficult to define the best imaging technique, although MRI is probably the investigation of choice in defining hepatic metastases. MRI is considered the most sensitive technique for demonstrating liver and bone metastases in patients with gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) tumours and is recommended for precise monitoring of response to therapy. However, both these investigations were negative in our patient necessitating the application of further diagnostic procedures. In cases of small insulinomas not detected with the previously mentioned imaging modalities, invasive procedures may still be necessary to achieve pre-operative localization. Sensitivities ranging between 77 and 100% have been described for transhepatic portal venous sampling (TPVS), but this technique is associated with considerable morbidity. Selective arterial calcium stimulation and hepatic venous sampling (ASVS) using calcium as the insulin secretagogue is a powerful tool for the pre-operative localization of occult insulinomas and can also help distinguish the rare forms of non-insulinoma-pancreatogenous-hyperinsulinemia (NIPHS). In a recent series of 11 patients a sensitivity of 100% was obtained with this technique, which may also identify rare extra-pancreatic insulin-secretating Neuroendocrine Tumours (NETs), mainly of the liver. Positron emission tomography (PET) using 11C-5-hydroxytryptamine (HTP), due to selective uptake in tumour tissue compared to surrounding tissue, produces very good tumour visibility and it can be used for the examination of both the thorax and abdomen. However, lack of general availability and high cost limits its use. Intra-operative nuclear imaging can be used to help define the exact location of a biochemically proven GEP and aid in its complete resection.

Conventional imaging studies such as ultrasonography, CT, and MRI fail to reveal the majority of insulinomas. However they have a role in the evaluation of malignant insulinomas and in the detection of metastases. Portal vein sampling and intra-arterial stimulation of insulin secretion with calcium makes it possible to detect almost all insulinomas but they are invasive and complicated techniques. IOUS alone identifies approximately 95% of tumours but necessitates experience for the surgeon or assistance by a radiologist. Laparoscopic ultrasound as an integral part of laparoscopic procedure has also been suggested in the management of these patients. However inability to localize the tumour during laparoscopic approach and conversion rate has been reported as high as 30% in a recent multicentre study.

Preoperative endoscopic ultrasound with fine needle tattooing combined with intraoperative ultrasound can localize the 100% of insulinomas. The technique was first advocated by Gress et al. It needs experienced endoscopist and surgeon familiar with pancreatic surgery. The overall sensitivity and accuracy of EUS is over 90% for insulinomas and EUS-FNT helps even more in localizing the site of the lesion. Our case supports the use of EUS and EUS-FNT as a primary modality in the evaluation, localization and treatment of patients with insulinomas. Nevertheless, CT and MRI scan are still useful in the assessment of malignancy and identification of adjacent lesions.
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